

No. 30 FEBRUARY 1970

Spearhead

one shilling



CLEAN UP BRITAIN'S UNIVERSITIES

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Israel's 'Right to Exist'

With unrest in the Middle East once again in the news, it is interesting to observe the almost uniform nature of the peace formulae offered by politicians and press commentators in this country. In every such formula we find inserted somewhere the words: "Israel's right to exist", together with the stricture that this right must be acknowledged by the Arabs before any kind of settlement is possible.

Now the "right to exist" is something which no-one should wish to deny to any nation, but to use that term within the context of this particular situation is to stretch the limits of euphemism a little too far. What other nation stipulates as part of its "right to exist" that it should arbitrarily select and occupy as its 'national home' one of the key strategical areas of the world, from which it has been excluded for two-thousand years, and which it can only populate by the wholesale removal of the previous inhabitants — AND at the same time maintain large and powerful communities of its co-nationals in almost every other part of the world extending to that nation their prior allegiance, but claiming all the normal rights of citizenship in the countries in which they reside?

Are the leaders of the Arab world unreasonable in believing that this concept of national rights is one that is unique in its claims and one that cannot possibly be allowed to go unchallenged?

Biafran Postures

Millions of folk the world over have followed the Biafran affair, and their decency and humanity is none the less because they have not subscribed to the ridiculous posturings of the politicians, busybodies and professional do-gooders who have spent the last few weeks sobbing about it over the mass-media. Indeed, from the behaviour of these people one might have thought that all capacity for perspective had deserted Western man and universal hysteria had taken over once and for all.

The biggest row that has developed as a result of the end to the war seems to arise out of the fact that countless international relief agencies consider it their duty and their right to fly aid direct to the refugees irrespective of any authorisation from the Nigerian Government, while the latter insists that aid be given when asked for and through the correct and authorised channels.

Whilst we hold no brief for the existing Nigerian regime, or indeed any of the other post-colonial regimes that have reduced most of Africa to chaos in recent times, it is difficult not to sympathise with Nigeria in this instance. Assured repeatedly by European liberals that she is 'independent', that she has attained the full rights of 'nationhood', she is now as good as informed by the same liberals that to ease their own bleeding consciences they must be allowed to administer the Biafra aid programme in the manner of old-time colonial administrators — without the slightest recognition of the territorial rights that they were supposed to have conferred upon Nigeria years ago.

The surrender of British rule in Nigeria and the handing over of power to the Africans there was criminal madness. But now it is an accomplished fact. That being so, the responsibility for what happens in Nigeria lies with the Africans alone. It is their business and not ours. They acknowledge this. General Gowon has said: "We don't need your help. We can do it ourselves." Why then, in heaven's name, do we have to make such asses of ourselves rushing around trying to clean up the Gen-

eral's mess for him? Let him get on with it if he so wishes, and let us devote our missionary energies to problems much nearer home and lying within our own jurisdiction and responsibility.

A Case for the Farmers

If ever men demonstrated in a good cause they are Britain's farmers. They have an absolutely cast-iron case.

The farmers have long remained silent while workers in less efficient industries obtained ever higher wage rises. Now they demand that their standards of living are brought up more closely to the national average.

The economic 'experts' are unsympathetic. Wage increases, they say, are going to lead, either to higher prices — which is just what we are all trying to avoid — or larger subsidies, which in turn are going to hit the taxpayer.

As things are, this may be true. But it is only half the story. The very people who are talking about higher prices want at the same time to push Britain into the Common Market, where prices are likely to be double what they are now. And even without the Common Market, British farming is still inadequately protected against foreign produce. Farmers have said that they could produce £200 million a year more of Britain's food, yet are prevented from doing so by reason of agreements with numerous foreign countries, whose products are regularly flowing into this country. If the home market were preserved for our own producers so as to enable them to exploit this extra £200 million capacity their profits would substantially increase, thus lessening the extent to which they would need to be paid more at the consumer's or taxpayer's expense. At the same time, such a policy would assist our balance of payments and strengthen us strategically, since it would mean we were less vulnerable in times of war.

British agriculture should be encouraged to produce the very maximum of which our home soil is capable. The remainder of the home market should be preserved for

Spearhead

Published in support of the National Front

dominion producers, and for only a few specialities should we trade with foreigners.

And even if in these conditions it is necessary to still pay the farmers more, their claims deserve priority over many other industries, whose performance has been vastly inferior.

A Triumph for Anarchy

The South African rugby men have gone and there is a two-month interval before their cricketing compatriots arrive—time for a respite for our much overworked police forces and time also for reflection.

The rugby tour will long be remembered as the occasion when the world-wide British reputation for sportsmanship and fair play



AFTERMATH OF A.A. DEMO

The photo tells its own story

reached its nadir. Not only was every effort made to disrupt actual matches, but everywhere the South Africans went they were followed and jostled, booed and intimidated. Inevitably, their form suffered and their record in international matches was not good. Nevertheless, they bore their sufferings with admirable dignity and all in all taught us a sporting lesson.

A small, despicable gang of hate-crazed rowdies caused all the trouble, while the rest of Britain—with the exception of the National Front—sat back and did nothing. The attitude of the authorities was deplorable. They did nothing to discourage the

disorder, either by arresting the organisers, who were clearly breaking the law, or by ensuring that really heavy penalties were imposed upon those who were brought to the courts. At no time did the Government give a lead to the country in really condemning the actions of the Anti-Apartheid movement. On the contrary, many senior members, including Minister of Sport Denis Howell, made it clear that they were in sympathy with the trouble-makers' objectives.

The whole affair leaves a vile stink in the nostrils and augurs badly for next summer. Will it again be a case of the forces of anarchy triumphing because of the incredible impotence of the forces of order?

Sense at Scarborough

Spearhead's reservations about Enoch Powell as a prospective prime-minister for Britain have not changed. Nevertheless, we applaud in the main part his recent immigration speech in Scarborough.

Much of what he said in this speech on the general dangers of immigration have been said before and need not be elaborated on further. What was of particular value was his contribution to the subject of aid to immigrant areas, even though in this he was wilfully misquoted by the press and hysterically attacked by his colleagues in the Conservative Party.

Powell said that ordinary human nature was the root of the problems and antagonisms involved in the race question, and he went on to say about human nature: "Nor is it assisted by the deduction that those attitudes can be changed by laying out more and more public money on health, on housing, on schools, on development.

"It is the hoariest of fallacies, which experience has refuted over and over again, to suppose that the morals of a society will improve individually or collectively if that society is better housed or better educated or consumes or produces more per head.

"Neither crime nor violence nor war has yielded to improvement in any of these conditions, and there is as little reason to suppose them relevant to the causes of communal strife.

"It is a perilous delusion to talk about the consequences of Commonwealth immigration in terms of council housing or school building, as though they would be altered or removed if only sufficient public

money was expended."

These words were immediately greeted by screaming headlines in *The People* saying: "Don't Help Immigrants—New Powell Shock", and followed by the deliberately misleading opening paragraph: "Mr. Powell yesterday called for a halt to measures to make life better for coloured immigrants." Other papers reacted in a more or less similar way.

Mr. Heath's comment was no different. "This is an example to me of man's inhumanity to man," he said.

To any fair analyst of Mr. Powell's words these descriptions are utterly ludicrous. What Powell was saying was that aid to immigrant areas **would not solve the basic immigrant problem**. That is entirely different from saying that we should refuse to help immigrants merely for the sake of beastliness towards them. His words were in fact more than just a comment on the immigrant problem as such; they were a rebuttal of the whole creed of environmentalism which forms the basis of liberal and socialist thinking on political matters, and which evidently also influences Mr. Heath.

On the whole, Mr. Powell's Scarborough speech might be said to represent his most useful contribution to politics to date.

Harold the Courier

Is the British public getting tired of Harold Wilson's constant visits to Washington? It certainly should be.

The recent visit was his seventh, and his thirtieth to a foreign state, since his coming to office five years ago. An expensive occupation? Yes. Time consuming? Certainly.

But these are not the principal factors weighing against such trips. They are only secondary.

The main point is that it ill befits the leader of a great nation to flit about the earth like a junior courier. The dignity of his office and the relationship in which he should view his country and the rest of the world calls for reserve and restraint in such matters.

If it is necessary that the Prime Minister of Great Britain and the President of the United States should meet so frequently (and we are not convinced that it is), it would be a good idea if the latter came more often over here and the former went less often over there.

£300 MILLION ROBBERY No. 1

"Understanding has been reached" in Common Market negotiations that Britain's share in the cost of the Market's insane agricultural system would be "reasonable between £300 million and £400 million annually."

£300 MILLION ROBBERY No. 2

The fifth biggest industry in Britain is crime. It has an annual turnover of £300 million.

WEATHERBEATEN SOCIALIST

"Who is responsible for all this bad weather we're having..... all this rain, sleet and snow?" howls Labour Alderman Sir Leo Schultz of Hull Council. "I say it is the judgement of God on the electors who voted in the Tories to municipal power last May." Prolonged periods of smog, floods, avalanches and plagues of locusts are expected by Hull Labour Party as the National Front starts up its activities in the city.

ONE GOOD TURN ETC.

Reading University student magazine *Shell* recently gained permission from *Spearhead* to reprint in full an article on leprosy in Britain. A footnote to the reprinted article gave our address and invited readers to write in to us expressing their views on the article "after they had finished vomitting." Only letter so far: an application for NF membership.

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Front Facts publishes the best letter to the press on leftist policy every month. Prize: a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner was published in (and probably written by) the *Evening Standard*

I HAVE always felt very strongly about racial discrimination.

Now I am in the strange position of having two men who want to marry me, and one of them is an African.

I really think I would be happier with the other man, who has been a friend of mine for years. We have the same tastes and laugh at the same jokes, and I would marry him tomorrow except that I dread hurting the African's feelings.

I am fond of him, too, but don't love him. What should I do?—Miss P.M., Reigate.

SLOGAN OF THE MONTH

"Forward with anti-fascist and anti-racist solidarity of the working peoples against

PETER McMENEMIE

FRONT FACTS

monopoly capitalism, state corporatism, fascism and racism to Red Power!" (battle cry of the Indian Marxist-Leninist Association of Britain).

CASTRATION: AN ARTISTIC APPRECIATION FOR CHILDREN

The Institute of Contemporary Arts organised an art exhibition for children last month called "Play Orbit". Among the posters for sale at the exhibition was one showing the hips and thighs of a naked man who had been castrated. His severed organs were lying on his body.

CASTRATION: AN ARTISTIC APPRECIATION FOR NATIONALISTS

Also on show at the Institute of Contemporary Arts was "Self Portrait", a film produced by John Lennon consisting of a 45-minute study of his penis. The Institute of Contemporary Arts is subsidised by the British taxpayer.

KEEP YOUR HAIR ON, LENNON

All progressive minded folk will applaud Lennon's efforts to bring the antiquated image of charity up to date. John and wife Yoko have donated a little of their not inconsiderable stocks of hair to Michael X in order that he might auction it to raise funds for his Black Power social/shopping/cultural centre in North London.

AID AND COMFORT

The sign-in on world poverty was a stupid attempt to bully the British people into signing a petition demanding more money from the nation to subsidise Afro-Asian incompetents. Spectacular publicity greeted the beginning of the sign-in. An embarrassed silence has been maintained as to just how many Britons actually signed.

Perhaps the hostility with which the petition met can be judged from figures published by individual areas in the campaign. In the London Borough of Redbridge, for instance, just over 5,000 signatures were collected out of a total population of 250,000.

COLOURFUL WHITE PAPER

This month's White Paper on Race Relations and Immigration—the product of a group of partisan M.P.s—claims that coloured school-leavers face discrimination when looking for a job. This assumption is based on the fact that young coloureds usually end up in poor jobs. According to a report by a more knowledgeable body only a fortnight before, however, coloureds do not get poor jobs because of discrimination. The National Youth Employment Council says coloured school-leavers obtain employment commensurate with their abilities."

MADMAN ON THE LOOSE



As Enoch Powell addressed a meeting at the Coronation Hall, Kingston, police mingled with 500 left-wing demonstrators outside the hall following reports that escaped Broadmoor lunatic Morris Mouthfoam had been spotted carrying a banner.

MORE MADMEN ON THE LOOSE

A man broke into a house, wrapped a brick in a stocking, and battered a sleeping man unconscious in his bed. "I did it because I wanted a woman," he explained. Under Britain's kind new criminal laws, the assailant had been released from prison just a few days before after serving half his sentence for violence.

INSIDE A 'PROGRESSIVE' PRISON

Holiday Camp for Criminals

WITH issues of crime and punishment so much in the forefront of discussion at the moment, we should be grateful when national newspapers, albeit with obscure intention, provide us with news and views that help to exhibit some of the lunacy of current 'liberal' ideas on the subject. Recently a feature appeared in *The Observer* which performed just such a service, providing as it did a perfect microcosm of modern social engineering'.

The feature consisted of an interview with one of the staff of Grendon Underwood, Britain's first and so far only psychiatric prison. Grendon Underwood is the dream of progressives everywhere. Discipline does not exist. The inmates there are regarded, not as criminals who have broken the law, but as unfortunate cases needing 'treatment'. Just about every left-wing penal theory that ever was is put into practice, and the opportunity for social studies is practically limitless.

As to the effectiveness of Grendon Underwood in contributing to a solution to Britain's crime problems, the testimony of the officer interviewed is worth hearing.

"I am what is known as a discipline officer," he said. "This is distinct from a hospital officer. That is a man of the same rank as myself but he's been on a three-month training course at Wormwood Scrubs or another prison hospital in which he learned how to give out medicines and empty bed-pans. That qualifies him for an extra 22s. a week on his salary. No sir, I've never applied to be a hospital officer. I came into the Prison Service because I felt I had a vocation for dealing with men, not because I wanted to be a nursemaid.

"EASIEST NICK"

"The prisoners will tell you this is the easiest nick in the country, and so it is—and not only for the prisoners. There are a good 70 per-cent of the officers here who have nothing to do all day except stand around. 'overstaffed' wouldn't even begin to describe it. There are 97 officers for 150 prisoners, which to my mind is

a crying scandal considering the shortage of staff in every other prison you'd care to name."

Speaking of prisons generally, the man said: "If a prisoner swears at an officer, it's an offence against good order and discipline. the man should be put on report and punished for it. But not here, oh no. I have to stand there and let myself be called 'a lousy sodding bastard' to my face, by some slag I wouldn't even let sleep in my toolshed at the bottom of the garden. And what do I have to do? Stand there and pat him on the head, that's all.

"When I first came I put a few men on report. I warned them first of course. I said 'Right, that's enough of that.' The next thing they did, wallop, they were on report to the Governor. And what did they get every time? A caution, I ask you! I'd already given them a caution myself, hadn't I? It seems to me no wonder there's no discipline in the place if the powers that be aren't going to back you up.

"I haven't put anyone on report for over a year now. I've learned my lesson: it's no use. They can swear their heads off all day for all I care. but, my God, if an officer swears at a prisoner, then there's hell to play all right.

"It makes me sick, the hypocrisy of this place. These men in here, officially everyone's supposed to talk about them as 'inmates' or 'patients'. To me, sir, they're not patients, they're criminals. They're convicted felons who've committed an offence, been given a fair trial according to the laws of the country, and been

found guilty and sent to prison quite rightly as a punishment. I agree that they're sent to prison as a punishment and not for punishment: I don't believe they should be mishandled or knocked about or ill-treated while they're inside, not unless they're the ones who start trouble themselves. But I do think they should be treated firmly, and while they're here they should do exactly as they're told. To give them the idea when they come here that they're important, that their only trouble is that they're misunderstood, that they need sympathy: well, to me, quite frankly, that just seems plain ridiculous. They get more attention paid to them here, more mollycoddling, more listening to than they've ever had in their whole lives outside. It makes them feel they can't help it, that they're not really bad people at all: it's everyone else outside who's wrong, not them.

OFFENDERS

"That wasn't why I came in the Prison Service, to encourage people to that sort of way of looking at things. I came into it to teach them they were offenders and that whether they liked it or not, they'd have to toe the line until they went out.

"There are men in here doing five years for £20,000 robberies—which if my arithmetic is correct is £4,000 a year. I'll tell you, for four thousand quid a year I'd do five years myself in Dartmoor and think it was worth it. But five years in a place like this, good God sir, it's a rest cure and a bonus on top as well. It's nothing more or less than a pantomime."

So runs the story of a 'prison', the proud symbol of our enlightened age of 'penal reform'. Wage bills alone at Grendon Underwood must run to at least £100,000 a year, probably much more. How much it must cost the taxpayer when all expenses are considered is appalling to calculate. Yet current trends indicate that there will be many more Grendon Underwoods in the future, until perhaps this kind of establishment becomes the norm for breakers of the law. That at least is what many people in high places are aiming for.

Our poor forbearing prison officer is putting it mildly when he says that it's nothing more or less than a pantomime. It seems to us a sign that this country is in the grip of a kind of collective madness that may well qualify it in time for the very title of 'psychiatric prison'.

"I'VE FAILED HERE. It's time for me to move on. Racialism has become respectable, due mainly to the National Front and Enoch Powell." As reported in the January issue of *Spearhead*, these were the words of Mr. Alexander 'Sandy' Kirby when he announced his resignation from the Newham (East London) International Community on January 1st.

For the benefit of patriots in other parts of the country whose neighbourhoods are plagued by race-mixing bodies similar to the Newham International Community, *Spearhead* publishes this chronology of the National Front's successful campaign to oust Mr. Kirby. The N.I.C. was formed in August 1968. Mr. John Cook (who, with Mr. Ron Tear, is NF North Thames regional organiser) wrote to the Community challenging its officials to debate coloured immigration. This challenge to engage in public debate received wide publicity in the local press. As a result of pressure from his Executive Committee colleagues, Mr. Kirby declined to accept the challenge.

The *Newham Recorder* sensed that the general public was very disappointed by this decision and so it arranged in December 1968 a 'private' confrontation between Mr. Kirby and Mr. Tear. In a preamble to its double-page coverage of the confrontation, the *Recorder* stated.

"Race relations are a vital but explosive issue in Newham..... a public debate could be scarred by violence..... the very real danger of bloodshed has so far prevented a public confrontation between the NF and the Newham International Community."

In this private debate Mr. Tear brought home the fact that the ratepayers and taxpayers of Newham had never been asked whether they wanted the services of an International Community—it had been sneaked on them. The only fair solution for Newham's—and Britain's—race problem was the repatriation of the coloured immigrants to their lands of origin. These frank words brought to the NF a flood of membership applications.

This publicity sparked a massive letter writing campaign to the local press and the systematic distribution of scores of thousands of 'Stop Immigration' leaflets. These activities intensified over the months and helped to ensure that the very existence of the N.I.C. was a controversial issue in local politics.

MARTIN WEBSTER

How to get rid of YOUR local Race Relations Officer

Encouraged by growing support, the NF put up a candidate, Mr. John Newham, in the East Ham Ward of Newham Borough in February 1969. Despite smears from the old parties and vicious assaults on Mr. Newham and his canvassers by the quasi-military '62 Group terrorist gang, the NF was able to secure 11 per-cent of the poll.

The NF campaign was given a further boost when on May 1st 1969 it was revealed in the *Newham Recorder* that the notorious Black Power movement had representatives sitting on the N.I.C. Committee. Mr. Kirby kept a picture of Michael X on his office wall and enraged Newham's white community by saying: "The emergence of the Black Power movement is most encour-

aging."


Later that month the NF won front-page publicity in the *Stratford Express* and the *Newham Recorder* when a team of activists disrupted a Black Power film show which the N.I.C. had helped to promote and during which literature published by the criminal American Black Panther organisation was distributed. The film itself glorified the murder of white policemen by Black Panthers.

By mid 1969 it was clear that the people of Newham were becoming very restive as a result of the antics of the N.I.C. and that the NF was gaining a wide measure of public support. Officials of the local Conservative Party were starting to jump on the NF bandwagon.

The Black Power movement proved to represent not one but two chinks in the armour of the N.I.C., for in June the *Stratford Express* reported under the headline "Break-up Threat Faces the N.I.C." that militant Black Power members were threatening to dissociate themselves from the Community as a result of the policy of its Executive Committee not to debate with the NF. Rumours of the rift were confirmed by Mr. Harold Moore, Secretary of the Newham Caribbean Association.

Considerable publicity was won for the NF when activists intervened at a meeting organised by the local Conservative Party, at which Mr. Kirby shared the platform with Mr. Iain McLeod, M.P. Under stiff NF cross-examination Mr. Kirby was forced to admit that the N.I.C. received a substantial grant from the Gulbenkian Foun-

Continued on Page 10.



PETER McMENEMIE

DO WE REALLY NEED SO MANY UNIVERSITIES?

THE bragging of successive Labour-Tory governments about their record in building more universities and greatly increasing the number of university places perpetuates the myth that Britain is making better use of her greatest natural resource: the brains of her youth.

The sorry fact is that university education is one of the fattest, greediest and most worthless sacred cows in Britain today.

Never have academic standards been so low. Never has intellectual discipline been at such an ebb. Never has original thought and creative effort been so lacking. And never have mediocrity, shoddiness, laziness and general anarchy been so prevalent in the universities.

It can be argued that this is not the result of the increase in university places but only of general national decadence and leftist propagandising. In reality it is more likely the other way round: the cheapening of university education has been the foothold of decadence and leftist propagandising. It is the old struggle of left versus right once again: the battle of quantity versus quality.

Time was when a university degree was the highest entrance card to a top position in Britain for a young graduate. It was a certificate of the graduate's ability, intelligence and hard work. Today a degree counts for little when it comes to a position outside the world of the social services. Indeed, astonishingly enough, it is becoming a liability. More and more firms in industry and commerce are passing over the graduate in favour of the applicant with a knowledge of the specialist work which most good jobs today entail.

Consequently, the university world—graduate, undergraduate and tutorial—is becoming more isolated and concerned only with itself. This represents one of the greatest menaces of the age for Britain.

First, the cost to the economy is astronomical. The British taxpayer has to foot the huge bill for a worthless community which can only be described as parasitic.

Second, Britain is losing her most important possession: her young talent. Even with the low standards of entrance today, most students are of high intelligence. This gift of intelligence is more often than

not channelled into a position where it cannot possibly be of service to the nation. The subjects which most students feel compelled to study are subjects like the social sciences, knowledge of which is practically useless in a modern industrial state and the application of which is limited only to the already dangerously overstuffed social services. Valuable studies are shunned as unfashionable, and any real and constructive talents that a student may have possessed are neutralised.

DELUSIONS OF GRANDEUR

Third, the increasing isolation of the university population has already reached its obvious conclusion. It has resulted in the formation of a vast snob group which, considering itself intellectually superior while in effect grossly ignorant, bestows upon itself the role of the nation's moral fountain. Such delusions of grandeur have manifested themselves in countless extreme left-wing riots over the past few years.

Clearly, the appalling state of Britain's universities cries out for imaginative and courageous reform. It would be ludicrous to look for constructive measures from the Labour/Liberal/Tory establishment: the present anarchy is its achievement, and the primitive mystics of this disreputable set-up are hardly likely to take the big stick to their prize sacred cow. Only a



ANOTHER STUDENT RIOT...

Is it the result of a university system which creates too many places to maintain high standards?

Nationalist government would have the good sense to sort out the mess.

A complete review of the whole educational system is needed. In the field of university education it is quite clear that there will be neither short-term nor long-term loss if we drastically reduce the number of university places—indeed the number of universities. Entrance requirements must be of the highest order. Present redundant and irrelevant subjects must be dropped and replaced by more valuable and essential studies. Students must be made to understand that a university is the highest place of learning and not a left-wing political ape-house whose inmates can live off the British taxpayer.

In the other spheres of higher education, more emphasis must be placed on the importance of specialist technical colleges, which are now more than ever essential in the maintenance of a modern industrial Britain.

At present money and talent are thrown away in the perpetuation of a sick system. Reform must mean the better use of our young brains while at the same time effecting great economies. That is the difference between responsible government and leftist insanity.

THE EDUCATION

THE NATIONALIST MOVEMENT in Britain, headed by the National Front, is recruiting ever greater numbers of promising young men and women who in due course will become its leaders. In the preparation of such young people for leadership, there is one notable difficulty.

Not only is the academic life of this country under the almost total control of liberals, internationalists and leftists of every shade, so also are the main publishing houses under some strange form of hidden duress whereby they are reluctant usually to print and circulate any books of political relevance other than those favouring the liberal

GENERAL POLITICS

One of the first things the political student is advised to do is to seek an understanding of the principal political ideologies, what they stand for and what is right and wrong about them. Until this basic field is explored much of the study of world problems is carried out blindfold. Political ideologies can be studied equally well sometimes by reading books both for and against them, and the student is advised to do this. Also of course there are books which merely give a factual summary of what the various ideologies mean, without undue bias towards one or the other. Two that can be recommended in this category are *The History of Political Theory* (George H. Sabine) and *The Evolution of Political Thought* (Prof. C. Northcote Parkinson). From the standpoint of the political right, 5 books in particular are recommended which attack and effectively demolish most of the fond theories of liberalism. In the late 1920s, with revolutionary ferment stirring all over Europe, Oswald Spengler produced *Hour of Decision*, a most powerful indictment of these forces as well as of the liberal ideas that paved the way for them. Easier to read than Spengler's better known and fundamentally pessimistic work *Decline of the West*, this book is currently in print and should be easy to obtain.

A more recent book of great value is *Suicide of the West* by James Burnham. Burnham, a distinguished American author, began life as a communist and gradually moved to the right over the years. This, probably his most important work, analyses

the current liberal prescriptions for the problems of American society today and brilliantly explodes them. Most of the topics on which he touches are directly relevant to Britain.

The Specious Origins of Liberalism by the British author Anthony Ludovici is an important contribution to the subject. So also is the small booklet *Anatomy of Liberalism* by S. E. D. Brown. Also worth reading but currently out of print is Ludovici's *The False Assumptions of Democracy*.

COMMUNISM & SUBVERSION

Study of Communism is an essential part of one's political education as is also study of the broader scheme of international conspiracy into which communism fits. To obtain a direct picture of some of the methods of communism two works recommended are: *The Communist Technique in Britain* by B. Darke and *I Believed* by Douglas Hyde, both ex-communists. To study communism within the broader context of world-conspiracy two books well worth reading have been published by the Russian emigre George Knupffer. They are: Knupffer's own work, *The Struggle for World Power*, which exposes vividly the collusion between communism and high finance, and *Red Symphony*, Knupffer's translation of the confessions of a Trotskyite, C. Rakovsky, to one of Stalin's interrogators. This latter work features the uncanny predictions of events surrounding the Second World War which actually later happened.

An excellent exposure of communist

viewpoint. As a result, the serious young progressive nationalist doctrine is greatly handicapped a person from the brainwashing of current constructed argument that can come only and 'right-wing' authors hinder the formulation

We are often asked by such people: what is the subject? The answer is that there are books of politics which are written from a national point of view currently in print, some not. Obviously, they are not available in the United States, but there are some books out of print

tactics in Africa today is *The Puppeteers* by Harold Soref and Ian Grieg.

The theme of international conspiracy with special reference to its effects on the British Empire and Commonwealth is dealt with in the most up-to-date way by A. K. Chesterton in *The New Unhappy Lords*, reviewed in last month's issue and familiar to many National Front supporters. This book should be given top priority for its calm and powerfully reasoned exposure

Some Recommended Books

of the influences behind Britain's decline.

Two works are important to read with the qualification that their authenticity has not been conclusively proven. They are: *Brainwashing: A Synthesis of the Soviet Textbook on Psychopolitics*; and *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*. These books consist of the reputed pronouncements of the plans of world revolutionaries—by themselves. The best way of appraising these works is to examine them against the background of what is actually happening in the world today. Whether they are forgeries or not will perhaps never be known. The question is: do they fit contemporary events? If the reader feels that they do, he should bear in mind that the *Protocols* date back to the 1890s, and the question must surely suggest itself: could events today be predicted at such long range if they were not part of some clearly established plan?

In the study of conspiracy and subversion one should always take care to avoid hysterical interpretations, of which there are many. The books listed here are among the most serious and balanced, and they deal in the main part in facts which cannot be gainsaid.

OF A NATIONALIST

person who wishes to develop a comprehensive understanding of the nationalist point of view. The better instincts may protect such ideas, but lack of information and proper access to the best works of nationalist literature can lead to an alternative.

books can I read to get an understanding of many excellent books covering many fields of nationalist and rightist point of view. Some are the former should be given first recommendation which are so worth reading that the really

keen student will make an effort to get them. They can be ordered through local libraries for loan, but if one wants to get them for keeps it is sometimes possible to do so through a book service such as Foyles, of Charing Cross Road, London, who can obtain almost any book, given time.

The student of nationalism should aim to make his understanding of his subject a wide one—which means a knowledge of many different fields. He should not become obsessed with one aspect alone.

In this feature we are listing a few books which in our opinion are amongst the very best in educational value for nationalists. Except those stated as out of print, all books can be obtained from the Nationalist Book Centre, 10 Birkbeck Hill, London S. E. 21.

POLITICAL ECONOMY

We are asked many times what is the best way to study the economic aspects of the nationalist case. Here there is at the present time a shortage of good textbooks in the English language and related to contemporary British economic problems, and

recommended Reading

it is to be hoped that the National Front or someone on its behalf will produce in the near future a book of this kind. In the meantime the student is advised to seek out where he can the facts relating to the practice of nationalist economic principles in other countries where these have been applied. In John Tyndall's *Six Principles of British Nationalism* (not currently available but shortly to be reprinted) a very brief synopsis of nationalist economics is given but not in enough detail for a proper study. In the writings of A.K. Chesterton generally the theme of a national and Commonwealth reciprocal trading system is advocated and soundly argued. The need for a really comprehensive up-to-date work remains, and these are only recommendations for a preliminary study.

Finance, considering that it forms a department of general economics, is well dealt with, and many good books published in Britain and the United States are available. If we are asked to pick out three, those that come especially to mind are: *All These Things* by A. N. Field and *Promise to Pay* (R. McNair Wilson). These books all explain in easy-to-read terms the basic

fraudulence of 'orthodox' finance, with its system of exacting tribute from the productive forces of the economy in favour of the international banks and other moneylending institutions.

In George Knupffer's *Struggle for World Power* considerable attention is given to the financial aspect, even though the book is not primarily devoted to that field, and Knupffer's views on finance are well worth reading, particularly as they, like those in the other books, are explained in simple and direct language.

Social Credit bodies all over the world have produced many publications on finance which give what is in our opinion a more or less correct analysis of the faults of the present system. The weakness of the Social Credit case lies more in its solutions, which do not take sufficient account of the practical mechanics of political power. Too much of Social Credit thinking, in other words, represents economics isolated from politics. Nevertheless, much can be learned from a study of these ideas.

THE RACE QUESTION

On the subject of race there are probably more books currently available than on any other subject of interest to nationalists, and it is extremely hard to sort out of the mass of material available those most worth acquiring. We name three, however, that stand out as especially valuable. *Racial Contours* by H. B. Isherwood is an excellent work of direct relevance to problems of race in Britain. *Race and Reason* by Carleton Putnam examines the race issue in the

United States, and answers in the course of this examination just about every liberal and leftist argument on race that has yet been put forward. An older work examining race and genetics in general is *The Revolt Against Civilisation* by the American Lothrop Stoddard. This is of the utmost importance as it deals, not only with differences between racial groups but also with those between the various elements within the white race. It spotlights the factors that affect the qualitative decline in nations, and which cause degeneracy, crime and idiocy. Finally it examines the genetic causes behind revolutions.

OTHER BOOKS

These specific subjects aside, there remain a number of other books that might be termed 'miscellaneous', which can be strongly recommended to anyone. *The Opinion Makers* by Ivor Benson spotlights the manipulation of news and views in the press and explains the very skillful methods of press propagandists in exploiting the newspaper media for their own political ends while maintaining the illusion of a 'free press' that survives in most countries of the West. *The Great Betrayal* by H. C. Burton, is an excellent exposure of the left-wing nature of the last Conservative Government. *The New Morality* (A. Lunn and G. Lean) is a brilliant demolition of the permissive creed and some of the odd people promoting it. *Rhythm, Riots and Revolution* (D. A. Noebel) deals with the way in which folk and pop music are exploited by communists to disrupt society.

JOHN BEAN

POLLUTION

— A NATIONALIST VIEW

THE campaign against man's pollution of his environment—which I briefly commented upon in last month's *Spearhead*—has become one of the 'in' protests. Press, T.V. and radio 'sages', suddenly aware of the obvious, pour forth a welter of words, but no hint of action. King Harold himself, well practised in the art of jumping on passing band-wagons, took the opportunity on his recent visit to our American overlords to state that the problems of pollution were of great concern to his Government.

Bearing in mind that Harold Wilson, like the overwhelming majority of those now showing concern for the poisoning of our world, has said not a word on the subject in the past, one would think that the problem was something new. The poisoning of our streams and rivers has been gathering in momentum since the birth of the Industrial Revolution. It has been the same with the poisoning of city atmospheres by soot and sulphur dioxide fumes from factory and power station chimneys. It has been the post-war years that have seen the rise of the added problems caused on the land by the over-use of fertilisers and insecticides and more recently the removal of hedges in order to utilise American prairie farming equipment. The result has been the extermination of many animal species and the creation of dust-bowl conditions. At sea, the expanding world oil-tanker fleet leads to ever increasing pollution of the oceans and the destruction of more and more fishing beds.

The signs have been with us long enough for the politicians to have acted by now.

For once I found myself with a certain amount of sympathy for spokesmen of the New Left when they stated recently that this was an outcome of capitalism—*laissez faire* capitalism: unbridled capitalism: capitalism run amok, as exists in America and as existed in Britain up to the first decade of this century (and to which Enoch Powell would have us return). But the New Left did not state that pollution is also a problem in the Soviet Union and the other Iron Curtain countries. If the problem exists to a lesser degree than in Britain or America it is because the Soviet Union has large tracts of non-industrialised territory, as distinct from Britain, and is less industrially developed than the United States.

Although as yet there is no National

Front programme for tackling the urgent problem of pollution, I contend that, as with so many other socio-political problems of today, the NF's very outlook on life, with its recognition of man's place in relation to nature, would ensure immediate action.

The epitome of liberal or marxist thought on this matter is the cocky university don who thinks that he and his fellows are so superior to all those who have gone before since Western Civilisation first began on the shores of the Mediterranean. Bedazzled by his own brilliance, he has arrogantly decided that his intellect has no need of nature's laws. The Afro-Asian world population explosion; the white races' eager participation in the extinction of their own stock; and now the physical pollution of the soil from which we feed, the air we breathe and the water we drink: these are all the inter-related results of this single attitude of mind.

It is plain that as this attitude of mind permeates all orthodox party thinking—Labour, Liberal, Tory and Communist—whatever plans they may draw up for tackling pollution they are doomed to fail in the long run. This in essence is why I have been a nationalist for the past 25 years.

HOW TO GET RID OF YOUR LOCAL RACE RELATIONS OFFICER

Continued from Page 6.

dation for the purpose of financing play schools—but only if the play schools maintained a 50/50 ratio between black and white children, even though coloured immigrants only make up 10 per-cent of Newham's total population.

The final thrust against the N.I.C. came in December 1969, when Mr. Kirby was once again brought face to face with an NF official under the auspices of the local press—this time the *Stratford Express*. Once again the futility and wastefulness of institutionalised multi-racialism were exposed—and very ably so by the Newham NF branch organiser, Stephen Wade.

Asked if he were "against coloured people", Mr. Wade replied: "Certainly not. I'm against coloured immigration. I've got no 'hang-ups' where coloured people are concerned—but I'm a nationalist first."

On January 1st of this year Mr. Kirby admitted his defeat, and gave testimony to the part the NF played in securing it—as a perusal of the two local papers will verify. He announced that he was leaving Newham in order to take on the editorship of the neo-existentialist magazine *Race Today* (see story "Latest from the Race Relations Madhouse" in last month's issue of *Spearhead*).

As the National Front grows all over the country it would appear that many other race relations 'experts' may be forced to abandon their work 'in the field' and seek refuge in safer theoretical climes. So watch out, Sandy, there's going to be plenty of competition for your job in the near future!

BE A SPEARHEAD SUBSCRIBER

Ensure that you always get *Spearhead* promptly and regularly by becoming a subscriber. Fill in your name and address below and send it, with the required subscription, to: *The Circulation Manager, Spearhead, 10 Birkbeck Hill, London S.E. 21.*

Name _____

Address _____

Copy to be sealed / unsealed. Enclosed subscription of

RATES (12 issues): British Isles and Commonwealth: 18s.; Foreign: 18s. (unsealed), 31s. (sealed). 3 Copies: Brit. and Comm.: 46/6d.; Foreign: 46/6d. (unsealed), 73s. (sealed). 5 Copies: Brit. and Comm.: 77s.; Foreign: 77s. (unsealed), 133s. (sealed).

WHO really knows Africa? Who can really think African? Thinking African is a complete state of mind." These are the opening words of a remarkably revealing article that recently appeared in a parish magazine — remarkably because the writer is known to be a liberal and to hold the views about race conventional in church circles today.

Roger Allford, writing in *Abbey Wood News*, the magazine of the Parish of St. Michael and All Angels, Abbey Wood, London, describes his experiences during a visit to Africa last year, and in doing so completely shatters many of the rosy theories about that continent held in left-wing quarters.

Mr. Allford begins by telling a true story about an exam given to African tractor drivers of some three weeks experience. In answer to the question: why do you put your foot on the clutch? an examinee replied: "Because I cannot put my head." To another question: "Why do you apply the handbrake?" another African replied: "Because if a bus hits me the wind won't take me away."

Mr. Allford goes on to relate an experience gained when travelling to the Congo: "I spoke to various pilots of Red Cross aircraft," he said, "and the story they had to tell was staggering. Most of the supplies eventually reaching Biafra never reached the starving. This is horrifying, but what happens to it?

"Flour, wheat, corn and beans lie rotting; clothing, cigarettes, salt and soap go straight on the black market. This causes inflation of non-existent prices, a ridiculous situation — a packet of cigarettes can fetch anything up to £5. Can Oxfam, the Red Cross, the Biafran Appeal and other charitable organisations be really helping?"

AFRICAN EXPLOITING AFRICAN

Mr. Allford goes on to say: "No-one is more capable of exploiting the African than another African, and here may lie many of the reasons for the starvation and unrest."

"Monetary aid," says Mr. Allford, "is administered solely by the African governments themselves, and one sees the private balances of the African leaders rising. Communist aid, on the other hand, has many more strings attached to it, and the Communists see to it that money reaches

AID MILLIONS WASTED BY AFRICANS WHILE BRITISH TAXPAYER FOOTS THE BILL

the right destinations, where it will do the most harm or good, depending on which way one looks at it."

"In the Congo," says the writer, they are buying "vast amounts of agricultural machinery, only to leave them idle in the sun, because of the lack of trained personnel.

"The tractor in many cases has become the status symbol to the African, but when it is just left in front of the house for all the neighbours to see, one really wonders what use this can be.

"I have seen vast parks of tractors, combines, implements and other agricultural accessories left to go to ruin. These machines are needed, and many more besides, but blame must be placed on the companies through whom these implements are imported.

"Governments of the countries approach contractors already installed selling cars or office equipment. These contractors are then asked if they would like the sole import rights of agricultural machinery. Seeing another vast profit coming their way, the contractors get busy, quote a few prices, normally way out of line, and immediately import a large amount of machinery. The one who can import the quickest gets the contract.

"The machinery arrives, the manufacturers send one or two men to assemble it, a few tractors are given as presents to ministers, and there it stops, at least for a time. The contractor has probably marked up the goods at least 25 per cent before they enter the country, and this is put in a Swiss bank. Everything is shipped against a letter of credit, so the manufacturers are covered, but there the whole process grinds to a halt, except for a few parades through the capital, where, like as not, the tractors lead the procession. A few cries of 'Long live the President — he knows we need food!' and then the whole operation remains in limbo.

"The importer, never having handled agricultural machinery before, will in all effect ruin it all by leaving it with a little diesel in the tanks, allowing condensation to take place, and water will filter through the whole system, causing seizing of the engines."

BETTER OFF BEFORE

Mr. Allford is much to the point in his conclusions: "Many Africans I have spoken to say they were much better off before independence, because then at least they had food and work. Now they starve and die in hundreds. This is not only in Nigeria and Biafra. We have a bombardment of pictures of pot-bellied children to all intents and purposes common only to Biafra, where in reality they can be seen in almost any African country.

"How many people collecting or giving to Oxfam as well as the directors of Oxfam themselves, can be sure that only good will come from their donations?"

How many indeed! Repeatedly *Spearhead* has tried to warn against the blind naivety that inspires so much of the charity towards the so-called 'underdeveloped nations', and it is a welcome thing to hear someone who is in no way a political ally of ours corroborate much of what we have said. Mr. Allford's testimony is in fact a complete vindication of colonialism, which recognised the unfitness of the African to administer the implements of a developed industrial economy and administered them for him in very fair exchange for the profits derived from African (and Asian) soil.

In place of colonialism, we now have, not a prosperous, free, progressive Africa, but a mountain of corruption, starvation waste and strife. As Mr. Allford ends by saying, "are we in actual fact helping to sponsor more Duvaliers in this world? Are we helping to build 'zombie' empires, whose populations are controlled by fear?"

The "Editor,
"Spearhead",
10 Birkbeck Hill,
LONDON SE.1.

Letters

SIR: In your last issue a correspondent, Mr. Knight, denigrates an earlier Spearhead editorial which pinpointed the flabbiness of the Right and urged greater militancy.

Mr. Knight claims to have seen harsh service in the nationalist struggle, and to have learned better ways, citing wartime secret Cabinet meetings as a justification for meaningful discussion behind closed doors. Certainly such meetings were influential, but the power of this influence stems from the inherent power of the Cabinet as a body, rather than the secrecy employed.

The danger in Mr. Knight's thinking cannot be overstated. How comforting it is to believe in our struggle and then convince oneself that a lack of overt activity can be justified by discussions — with friends behind closed doors! It is certainly a more pleasing occupation than distributing leaflets on a cold, wet night, or facing the violence of red thugs, but let us be clear that the only benefit that accrues from such indoor conspiracy is that possible sense of pleasure. The picture of two crypto-nationalists convincing each other in their own lounge must fill our enemies with pleasure!

I do not wish to impugn Mr. Knight's political integrity or courage, as I do not know him, but the danger lies in the possibility that his apparent path of esoteric conspiratorialism may be seized upon by gutless 'nationalist' individuals and organisations to justify their own political sterility thereby assuaging their guilty consciences.

DENIS PIRIE
London S. E. 1

SIR: Through the untiring efforts of many revisionist historians and biographers over the last decade or so, enough works have been published to unbrainwash all but the

quite incurable 'liberals' of the West. I believe your real problem is to find a means of getting the truth to those who may be puzzled as to why the free world is in such an appalling mess.

Your own lists of publications are of extreme value but their presentation through subscription periodicals is surely rather slow and time is short.

The usual methods of advertisement and distribution of the works to which I refer have been blocked by a well organised conspiracy, with the backing of unlimited finance, to deny as far as possible, public access to them.

Would it be possible to set up reading groups in all centres where distribution would be easy and expenses shared?

O. G. ALLANSON-WINN
George, C. P., South Africa.

SIR: It was reported in the Daily Telegraph (18th December last) that the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development has urged that the credit squeeze should be continued into next year. The recommendation comes in an economic survey of Britain published in Paris by the 22-member organisation. "The main concern of econ-

omic policy," the report says, "must now be to consolidate the current favourable trends in the economy so that a further strengthening of the balance of payments can be achieved." It continues: "The need to keep the growth of public expenditure and private consumption within narrow limits will call for a continuation of firm fiscal policies.... if monetary policies are relaxed an excessive boom in business fixed investment might well develop. It is therefore important that credit conditions should remain as tight as is necessary to prevent undue pressures on the economy."

Why on earth an outside committee should report on our economy, and tell us to retain tight credit conditions when the maximum increase in production is most urgently required, is something we should know. The monstrously high rate of interest now charged by the Treasury (9 per cent) and seemingly pegged for some years, is the very cause of reduced growth-rate and inflation. Have our rulers gone completely mad? Is it their intention to so reduce the status of this country that it will be willing to jump into the Common Market at any price?

(name and address supplied)

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th. of the month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in the *Reading Chronicle*.

BOTH Mr. Freeman and Mr. Merriman persist in treating overseas aid as a moral issue rather than the economic and political question it is though Mr. Merriman rightly qualifies this by demanding effectiveness in distribution as well as collection.

We are constantly being told, by spokesmen of the political, religious and intellectual establishment that it is our duty as a "rich" nation to help poor and under-developed states. Apart from the fact that these states are poor and under-developed chiefly on account of the corruption and inefficiency of their rulers. Britain herself can no longer be classified among the "rich" nations, with her 3,000 million dollars worth of indebtedness to international usury which has led to curbs on economic expansion and cutting our defenses to the

level of a South American banana republic, while India, Pakistan and Nigeria, three recipients of our aid, seem wealthy enough to fight wars. The National Front maintains that money earned by Britons should be used for the benefit of Britain and that overseas aid is only justified where there is a political or strategic advantage to be gained. By all means let Mr. Freeman and his friends contribute one per cent of their personal incomes, but those who provide the national wealth must say how it is to be used and several public opinion polls have unmistakably shown that the vast majority think this give-away programme should be at the bottom end of the list of Government priorities.

From a political standpoint this aid may also well be playing into the hands of world Communism. One of

the five intermediate goals of Marxism laid down by Stalin was to force the advanced countries to pour prolonged financial aid into the under-developed to facilitate a Communist take-over. This will come as quite a shock to those who have blissfully believed that overseas aid is helping to keep Communism at bay.

The whole case against foreign aid is summed up by Abraham Lincoln's aphorism "You cannot strengthen the weak by weakening the strong... You cannot help the poor by destroying the rich... You cannot help men permanently by doing for them what they could and should be doing for themselves."

PHILIP BAKER,
Reading Branch
Organiser,
National Front,
24, Dell Road,
Ticehurst.

MARTIN WEBSTER Trouble shooting

...AS OTHERS SEE US"

THE National Front was established to provide a rallying point for all British patriots. This was made clear at its inception, and many individuals and movements were invited to contribute to the great new venture. Regrettably, not all those who were invited were men of vision and humility, and some chose to remain outside the fold in the hope of drawing around themselves their own little flocks.

Some of these people have met with a small degree of localised success. While this may have done wonders for their self-esteem, their efforts in the long run serve only to confuse the public and dissipate such resources as are available to the patriotic cause.

It is difficult for supporters of the National Front to convince these 'strays' that their actions have served, and can only serve, the best interests of the common enemy. Our protestations are discounted as mere partisanship. One wonders, though, if such people would take more notice of a voice from the enemy camp which analyses the development and significance of the National Front in British politics.

There is an organisation on the revolutionary Left called the International Socialists. While this organisation is not numerically strong, having only 1,200 members, its influence is considerable. Prominent among its officials are Paul Foot (of the Left Feet family), assistant editor of *Private Eye* and author of a recent Penguin book on Enoch Powell, and Bill Palmer, one of the leading ideologues on the Irish Civil Rights scene. The I. S. publish a paper called the *Socialist Worker* and in its issue of January 13th, under the heading 'Threat from the Far Right', it had this to say:-

"Many socialists have reacted to the extreme right-wing National Front with smugness and complacency, but it represents a potential threat that should not be ignored. The Flag, the Queen and the

White Dominions form the cornerstones of its policy. We must not look for jackboots or stage-managed rallies. It is because of this that we can expect the NF to appeal to many middle class people and to sections of the working class.

"The National Front is not the only fascist (sic) party in Britain, **But it is the only significant one.** With A. K. Chesterton at its head it has survived a very difficult first two years. It has maintained and strengthened its internal unity and its achievements are unparalleled in the post-war history of British fascism.

"The National Front is not merely racialist. **Its policy is comprehensive.** On issues ranging from hanging to homosexuality the NF has led local campaigns and produced considerable amounts of propaganda material.

"It is the comprehensive nature of the National Front programme that distinguishes it from other small right-wing organisations that simply feed on racism and hang on Enoch Powell's coat-tails. The NF is robust enough to attack Powell and the inconsistencies of his position."

...THOSE THAT WON'T SEE"

One of the "small organisations" mentioned in the *Socialist Worker* article has recently expended more than £300 on a full-page 'Powell for Premier' advertisement in an East London newspaper. Bearing in mind Powell has made it clear that he will not rock the Tory boat in a bid for the party leadership just before a General Election, the only possible beneficiary from such an advertisement will be the Conservative Party election machine.

The Tories clearly intend to use Powellism in certain areas for the period of the election before abandoning the electorate to the multi-racial squalor which Conservative governments were largely responsible for bringing about.

This column has previously quoted Tory

Central Office hacks as saying that they regard Enoch as a good election gimmick.

In view of these facts, that £300 would have been much better spent if it had been used as a donation to the election fund of a nationalist candidate in East London, where, thanks to the groundwork of the National Front, many opportunities present themselves. The people who squander these large sums of money on 'Powell for Premier' advertisements are not guilty of folly. They are guilty of downright sabotage.

EYE OPENER

Proof that the Conservative Party is multi-racialist right the way down to its rotten cynical core can be discerned from its current campaign to win over the Black vote, hitherto almost wholly Labour-inclined. An example of this campaign was displayed at the end of Mr. Heath's recent much publicised yachting escapade in the South Seas.

Many papers carried a photograph of Mr. Heath patting a couple of Aborigine boys on the head after he had landed in Tasmania. What a coincidence, one might think, that a couple of little 'Abo' boys just so happened to be in the crowd at the dockside when Mr. Heath landed. Just so happened—nuts! There are no Aborigines living in Tasmania. The little boys were specially flown over from the Australian mainland by the Press Relations Department of Conservative Central Office!

STAGGERING

.....No attempt is made to indicate Marcuse's distinctive relation to Adorno, Horkheimer and the Frankfurt School. Most staggering of all is the omission of any discussion whatsoever of most of the central themes which unite and divide the Frankfurt philosophers. The counterpoint of individuality and mass, identity and non-identity, is totally ignored....." (Book review, *Black Dwarf*)

WHITE PAPER CAUSES STOPPAGE

.....A lot has happened in 1969. There has been action by women at work ranging from the lavatory attendants who struck against the White Paper....." (Article, *Black Dwarf*)

NF in action

200 NF members march through CARDIFF to welcome Springboks. 20 members cause uproar at BARNET Anti-Apartheid meeting addressed by Trevor Huddleston. another A. A. meeting heckled by 40 and forced to close early at CROYDON. pro-hanging leaflet campaign wins big pub-

licity in PETERBOROUGH. WORTHING NF accused of splitting Worthing Tory Party. 20 COLCHESTER members invade 'World-Poverty' Sign-in and mount stage with bill-boards strapped to them. University of LONDON Medical School authorities ban John Tyndall from debate on nationalism: 124 students have so far signed protest petition at the decision. National Farmers Union Conference at Caxton Hall, WESTMINSTER, flooded with NF anti-Common Market leaflets: good reception. at last, branch in GLASGOW formed: based on Glasgow University members. newly formed GUILDFORD NF group heckled United Nations Association meeting on race problems at the university. Edward Heath heckled at ST. ALBANS meeting. Denis Pirie addressed HAMPTON WICK Tory Association: invited back. Tory-controlled Watch Committee tries to ban NF leafletting in LEICESTER under Markets and

Drainage Act of 1869. Cardiff NF organiser George Parsons addresses meeting at St. David's College LAMPETER. 50 members heckle Sign-in meeting at HORNSEY. 60 members at another Sign-in meeting in EAST LONDON. local activist group formed for SOUTH WALES. 50 Northern England Activist Group members disrupt 'Racial conciliation meeting at HUDDERSFIELD at which David Pitt of C. A. R. D. spoke. NORWICH NF to form an East Anglian Patriotic Forum. in ACTON 20 members heckle Tory Brains Trust. NF Government at WOOLWICH Model Parliament falls as leftists pack the sitting: Labour, Liberal, Communist and Anarchist members coalesce to outvote NF but latter still twice the size of any other party in Parliament. LEEDS members picket City Library after Spearhead is banned there. NF groups to be formed at SOUTHSEA, BOURNEMOUTH and CLACTON.

NF Activists

It is amazing how quite a modest contingent from the London National Front activist group has a thoroughly demoralising effect on left-wing mobs. A columnist in the *South Wales Echo* had this to say concerning the confrontation between 200 NF members and 2,000 Anti-Apartheid supporters at Cardiff:-

"I followed the NF march and also observed some of the NF men as they heckled Anti-Apartheid demonstrators on their way to the Cory Hall, where a meeting was held.

"The Police kept the factions apart, but the Cockney National Front group weren't deterred and continued to follow the march shouting remarks.

"I watched as a batch of NF men slipped into the hall and sat near the front. It wasn't long before they stood up, and one of them jumped onto the stage with others fanning out behind him. As they started to shout slogans they were pounced on and roughly hustled out.

"From all this it was plain that these were daring, ruthless, agile and fluently venomous people. The Cardiff organisers of the National Front were quite put in the shade by the militant tactics of these men from London. I hope it was an eye-opener

Demoralise Welsh Left



WELCOME SPRINGBOKS:— 200 NF members march through Cardiff.

for them."

Mr. George Parsons, NF Cardiff Organiser reports: "The resolute conduct of London activists was indeed an eye-opener for us. They showed us that the fight can be taken right into the heart of the enemy camp. The boys from London have inspired South Wales branches to form their own activist groups."

In conclusion it must be said that the

Cardiff pro-Springbok demo provided an excellent example of how proper local initiative will receive the fullest possible backing from National H. Q. National organisers took the trouble to send coachloads of activists to Cardiff because George Parsons took the trouble to organise the demo—in the face of considerable leftist hostility. Well done, Mr. Parsons! Well done, South Wales NF!

NF ACTS AFTER RACE TROUBLE

Fifty members of the NF Northern Region activist group heckled a meeting of the 'Racial Conciliation Committee' to a standstill at Huddersfield on Saturday, February 7th. Main speaker was the negro pro-communist Dr. David Pitt, representing the Campaign Against Racial Discrimination.

Dr. Pitt got the meeting off to a fine start by claiming that he was "a Scotsman" as he had been born in Edinburgh. The main part of his speech was spent on explaining the workings of the Race Relations Board, Conciliation Commissions, Race Acts and all the other departments of the gigantic Race Relations industry. He was frequently interrupted by questioners who wanted to know such things as "Who's paying for all this?"; "When were the British people ever consulted?"; "Why should minorities have special rights?" and "What about freedom of choice for White people?"

So enraged was the majority of the audience by the speakers from the platform that the meeting organisers, fearing for their safety, called for police protection and tried to close down the meeting early. A very wise police inspector summed up the situation and suggested to the speakers that it would be in their own interests if they submitted to the demands of the audience for a question time. The NF group took full advantage of this to voice the resentment of the White community against the impositions of the Race Relations Act.

The meeting finally closed when the NF members — plus most of the rest of the audience — filed out chanting "Put Britain First!" Outside the hall Huddersfield Branch Organiser Mr. Les Sutton signed up ten new members whilst other activists did a brisk trade with *Candour* and *Spearhead*.

Mr. Sutton told our reporter that the meeting was considered by Huddersfield people to be a particular provocation, bearing in mind the number of violent assaults inflicted by gangs of immigrants against British people in the area. In January such a gang, 30 in number, attacked two policemen in the Cross Church Street area, with the result that police are now

forced to patrol the city in threes and with dogs.

In February a seven year-old White child was beaten up by a gang of coloured toughs whilst coming home from school. The child's parents registered a complaint with the Police. The next night a gang of 20 coloureds gathered outside the family's home shouting threats and pelting the house with bottles. Following these acts, 'Black Power' slogans were painted up all over the city. The local press refuses to report symptoms of an impending race war.

Of course those engaged in these acts of violence represent only a small part of the immigrant population and the remainder should not be held responsible for what they do, but nevertheless such acts are all the while causing intense feelings on the part of native Britons — which are bound to include resentment against the plans of the integrationists.

SPEARHEAD FUND

Thanks to the fine response given by our readers, the target figure of our recent fund (£150) has been raised. Assuming that all those who have given pledges of sums to support our first year of monthly publication honour those pledges, we should be able to pay our way during the second half of this year, which extends from March to August 1970.

Naturally, however, the need for financial support of a more general kind continues. A number of items of equipment need to be bought which will help our running efficiency and improve our quality of production. We hope that readers will continue to send donations to help with these things whenever they are able. (Ed.)

NF BADGES

Available for members only.
Price 4/- (plus 6d postage)
11 Palace Chambers, Bridge Street, London S.W.1.

 **National Front** 

PUTS BRITAIN FIRST
Find out more about Britain's new party.
Complete this coupon and send to:
The Secretary, National Front, 11 Palace Chambers, Bridge Street, London S.W.1.

Name _____

Address _____

All patriots should read

CANDOUR

The British Views-Letter

Edited by A. K. Chesterton

Which supports the

NATIONAL FRONT

Published by the Candour Publishing Co.,
11 Palace Chambers, Bridge Street, London, S.W.1

NATIONALIST BOOK CENTRE

10 Birkbeck Hill London SE21

Send for our free catalogue now

BUY BULK!

Help to spread SPEARHEAD by buying supplies for bulk distribution at special wholesale rate of 8d. per copy for all quantities of 50 or over.

JUST OUT!

INROADS TO RUIN

Race Legislation in Perspective

by J. Briggs, LL.B., M.A.

Nationalist solicitor answers questions on Race Relations Acts Price 3s 6d.

From Candour Publishing Company,
11 Palace Chambers, Bridge Street,
London S.W.1.

"The New Unhappy Lords"

An exposure of power politics
By A. K. CHESTERTON

Cloth-Bound Edition 28/-
Paper-back Edition 12/-

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS is a must for the bookshelf of any student of modern politics. It represents the most lucid and startling of all commentaries on the methods of subversion being used to undermine Britain and European Civilisation.

(obtainable from Nationalist Books)

THE Monday Club, which has been referred to earlier in our columns, recently held a conference in London on 'internal subversion' at which some interesting points arose.

The theme of the conference was that the Communist Party, debilitated by the Hungarian Rising and the Moscow-Peking slanging match, has been replaced by "a wide-ranging spectrum of organisations... sharing the aim of bringing about the downfall of capitalism and the destruction of the present established order."

The first three or four speakers were reported as being "reassuring, rather than alarmist," about the threat of subversion in the West. Later Harold Soref, prospective Conservative candidate for Ormskirk, struck a more urgent note. "The National Council for Civil Liberties," he said, "is completely infiltrated by communists. Its purpose is to defend those who break law and order."

Of the B.B.C.: ".....there has been no change, except for the worse. There is also book-publishing. If you examine the outpourings of Penguin Books, you will find that they are completely dedicated to propagating all the ideas we've been considering today.

"It's increasingly difficult for anyone who holds right-wing views to get a book published. It's very difficult to get a part on the stage because of the number of communist producers—and of the number of communist producers at the B.B.C.

"There is, although it is unpublicised, a means test in these occupations. This is a frightening situation which is getting worse and worse. On the box, there is left-wing propaganda every evening."

Another prospective Conservative candi-

Subversion - Half the Facts

date, Mr. Geoffrey Stewart-Smith, said that the communists had given orders for pornography to be propagated to foster the corruption of the West.

These reports are a welcome sign that there is an awakening among at least some people in the Monday Club to the facts of life. But it is quite clear that a great deal more awakening is needed. If the theme of the conference was that subversion through a network of front organisations was a policy adopted by the communists after, and as a result of, Hungary, then that for a start indicates either crass naivety or a wilful attempt to mislead. Let us do the Monday Club the credit of assuming that it is the former.

NAIVETY

Of equal naivety was the reference to organisations sharing the aim of bringing about the downfall of capitalism. Such a reference implies the hoary belief that all subversion in the world emanates from Moscow or Peking and seems to utterly disregard the role played by the Money Power of the West. Mr. Soref's own references to the hold of the Left over book-publishing were, in a sense, an implicit contradiction of this theory, since from what other source is power in publishing derived if not from money? International finance-capitalism, as distinct from industrial free-enterprise, is part and parcel of the conspiracy of world-revolution, and in fact its life-sus-

tenance.

It is extremely difficult to believe that intelligent people in the Monday Club—and intelligent people there undoubtedly are—are not aware of the dual subversive role of Money Power and Communism and of the fact that the latter would not exist but for the former. Why then this strange coyness in playing down such facts? We know very well that the Monday Club has to play a careful game. The many good friends we have among its rank-and-file members and junior officials are continually pointing out to us this fact. But surely it is all defeating their own ends if the vital field of political instruction fails to realise its purpose and only portrays half the picture. Half the picture, in this particular context, is almost worse than none.

There are of course other glaring gaps in the political doctrine of this in some ways useful body. A little while ago our Editor asked one of its representatives where the Club stood on the Common Market, and was told: "We haven't decided yet". The Club was a little more decided in a recent pamphlet in which it said: "Britain's future lies in Europe." Apparently, the campaign to get us into the Common Market has nothing to do with the subversion with which the recent conference dealt!

Never mind, perhaps we should be patient. At least the gentlemen of the Monday Club are learning. And for those who are prepared to learn there is always hope.

